**Green Pace Developer: Security Policy Guide Template**



# Green Pace Secure Development Policy

## Contents

[Overview 2](#_Toc52464053)

[Purpose 2](#_Toc52464054)

[Scope 2](#_Toc52464055)

[Module Three Milestone 2](#_Toc52464056)

[Ten Core Security Principles 2](#_Toc52464057)

[C/C++ Ten Coding Standards 3](#_Toc52464058)

[Coding Standard 1 4](#_Toc52464059)

[Coding Standard 2 5](#_Toc52464060)

[Coding Standard 3 6](#_Toc52464061)

[Coding Standard 4 7](#_Toc52464062)

[Coding Standard 5 8](#_Toc52464063)

[Coding Standard 6 9](#_Toc52464064)

[Coding Standard 7 10](#_Toc52464065)

[Coding Standard 8 11](#_Toc52464066)

[Coding Standard 9 13](#_Toc52464067)

[Coding Standard 10 14](#_Toc52464068)

[Defense-in-Depth Illustration 15](#_Toc52464069)

[Project One 15](#_Toc52464070)

[1. Revise the C/C++ Standards 15](#_Toc52464071)

[2. Risk Assessment 15](#_Toc52464072)

[3. Automated Detection 15](#_Toc52464073)

[4. Automation 15](#_Toc52464074)

[5. Summary of Risk Assessments 16](#_Toc52464075)

[6. Create Policies for Encryption and Triple A 16](#_Toc52464076)

[7. Map the Principles 17](#_Toc52464077)

[Audit Controls and Management 18](#_Toc52464078)

[Enforcement 18](#_Toc52464079)

[Exceptions Process 18](#_Toc52464080)

[Distribution 19](#_Toc52464081)

[Policy Change Control 19](#_Toc52464082)

[Policy Version History 19](#_Toc52464083)

[Appendix A Lookups 19](#_Toc52464084)

[Approved C/C++ Language Acronyms 19](#_Toc52464085)

## Overview

Software development at Green Pace requires consistent implementation of secure principles to all developed applications. Consistent approaches and methodologies must be maintained through all policies that are uniformly defined, implemented, governed, and maintained over time.

## Purpose

This policy defines the core security principles; C/C++ coding standards; authorization, authentication, and auditing standards; and data encryption standards. This article explains the differences between policy, standards, principles, and practices (guidelines and procedure): [Understanding the Hierarchy of Principles, Policies, Standards, Procedures, and Guidelines](https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/understanding-hierarchy-principles-policies-standards-wally-beddoe/).

## Scope

This document applies to all staff that create, deploy, or support custom software at Green Pace.

## Module Three Milestone

### Ten Core Security Principles

| **Principles** | Write a short paragraph explaining each of the 10 principles of security. |
| --- | --- |
| 1. ValidateInput Data | All input should be validated. This can prevent vulnerabilities to the software associated with unknown or untrusted data sources providing input. Attackers make it difficult to identify themselves, so all external data sources should be validated and treated with caution. |
| 1. Heed Compiler Warnings | All warnings should be eliminated by editing the code. The use of static and dynamic analysis tools can help identify additional warnings and security risks in the code. Static analysis tools search for flaws while looking at a program’s code to help identify security threats. Static analysis tools do not execute the program when searching for flaws. Dynamic analysis tools identify potential threats and flaws while executing the code. |
| 1. Architect and Design for Security Policies | Security policies must be a priority when designing software architecture. Certain program may require concrete security policies be followed that are identified by an organization. All programs should follow some degree of security policies. |
| 1. Keep It Simple | More complex code can increase error. Code should be as concise and simple as possible while still carrying out the task it is intended. |
| 1. Default Deny | By default, access to a system should be denied and require specific conditions and requirements be met in order to be granted access. This will help avoid potentials hackers or malicious users gain access to the system. |
| 1. Adhere to the Principle of Least Privilege | The principle of least privilege involves that users have the minimum privileges necessary to perform their function. This helps avoid attackers using arbitrary code within elevated privileges. |
| 1. Sanitize Data Sent to Other Systems | Data sent through complex subsystems should be sanitized. This can help avoid attackers using injection attacks to manipulate the subsystems. |
| 1. Practice Defense in Depth | Multiple layers of security should be implemented to practice defense in depth. This causes attackers to have to go through all of those layers of security to infiltrate the system. |
| 1. Use Effective Quality Assurance Techniques | Quality assurance is a crucial step to software development. This increases the likeliness of identifying potential security risks or vulnerabilities in the software. |
| 1. Adopt a Secure Coding Standard | A secure coding standard provides a framework to follow when creating a program. |

### C/C++ Ten Coding Standards

Complete the coding standards portion of the template according to the Module Three milestone requirements. In Project One, follow the instructions to add a layer of security to the existing coding standards. Please start each standard on a new page, as they may take up more than one page. The first seven coding standards are labeled by category. The last three are blank so you may choose three additional standards. Be sure to label them by category and give them a sequential number for that category. Add compliant and noncompliant sections as needed to each coding standard.

#### Coding Standard 1

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Data Type** | [STD-001-LLL] | Understand the data model used by your implementation(s). |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| This noncompliant example attempts to read a long into an int. This code works for models in which sizeof(int) == sizeof(long). For others, it causes an unexpected memory write similar to a buffer overflow. |
| int f(void) {  FILE \*fp;  int x;  /\*...\*/  if (fscanf(fp, “%Id”, &x) < 1) {  return -1; /\* Indicate failure \*/  }  /\*...\*/  return 0;  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| This compliant solution uses the correct format for the type being used. |
| int f(void) {  FILE \*fp;  int x;  /\* Initialize fp \*/  if (fscanf(fp, “%d”, &x) < 1) {  return -1; /\* Indicate failure \*/  }  /\*...\*/  return 0;  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

#### Coding Standard 2

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Data Value** | [STD-002-LLL] | Use valid references, pointers, and iterators to reverence elements of a basic\_string. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| This noncompliant code example copies input into a std::string, replacing semicolon characters with spaces. The iterator loc is invalidated after the first call to insert(). The behavior of subsequent calls to insert() is undefined. |
| #include <string>  void f(const std::string &input) {  std::string email;  // Copy input into email converting “;” to “ “  std::string::iterator loc = email.begin();  for (auto i = input.begin(), e = input.end(); i != e; ++i, ++loc) {  email.insert(loc, \*i != ‘;’ ? \*i : ‘ ‘);  }  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this compliant solution, the value of the iterator loc is updated as a result of each call to insert() so that the invalidated iterator is never accessed. The updated iterator is then incremented at the end of the loop. |
| #include <string>  void f(const std::string &input) {  std::string email;  // Copy input to email converting “;” to “ “  std::string::iterator loc = email.begin();  for (auto i = input.begin(), e = input.end(); i != e; ++i, ++loc) {  loc = email.insert(loc, \*i != ‘;’ ? \*i : ‘ ‘);  }  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

#### Coding Standard 3

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **String Correctness** | [STD-003-LLL] | Do not attempt to create a std::string from a null pointer. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant code example, a std::string object is created from the results of a call to std::getenv(). However, because std::getenv() returns a null pointer on failure, this code can lead to undefined behavior when the environment variable does not exist (or some other error occurs). |
| #include <cstdlib>  #include <string>  void f() {  std::string tmp(std::getenv(“TMP”));  if (!tmp.empty()) {  // ...  }  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this compliant example, the results from the call to std::getenv() are checked for null before the std::string object is constructed. |
| #include <cstdlib>  #include <string>  void f() {  const char \*tmpPtrVal = std::getenv(“TMP”);  std::string tmp(tmpPtrVal ? tmpPtrVal : “”);  if (!tmp.empty()) {  // ...  }  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

#### Coding Standard 4

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SQL Injection** | [STD-004-LLL] | Sanitize data passed to complex subsystems. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| This noncompliant code example is taken from [VU#881872], a vulnerability in the Sun Solaris TELNET daemon (in.telnetd) that allows a remote attacker to log on to the system with elevated privileges. The vulnerability in in.telnetd invokes the login program by calling execl(). This call passes unsanitized data from an untrusted source (the USER environment variable as an argument to the login program. |
| (void) execl(LOGIN\_PROGRAM, “login”,  “-p”,  “-d”, slavename,  “-h”, host,  “-s”, pam\_svc\_name,  (AuthenticatingUser != NULL ? AuthenticatingUser :  getenv(“USER”)),  0); |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| This Compliant solution inserts the “--” (double dash) argument before the call to getenv(“USER”) in the call to execl() |
| (void) execl(LOGIN\_PROGRAM, “login”,  “-p”,  “-d”, slavename,  “-h”, host,  “-s”, pam\_svc\_name,  “--“,  (AuthenticatingUser != NULL ? AuthenticatingUser :  getenv(“USER”)), 0); |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

#### Coding Standard 5

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Memory Protection** | [STD-005-LLL] | Properly deallocate dynamically allocated resources. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant code example, the local variable space is passed as the expression to the placement new operator. The resulting pointer of that call is then passed to ::operator delete(), resulting in undefined behavior due to ::operator delete() attempting to free memory that was not returned by ::operator new(). |
| #include <iostream>  struct S {  S() { std::cout << “S::S()” << std::endl; }  ~S() { std::cout << “S::~S()” << std::endl; }  };  void f() {  alignas(struct S) char space[sizeof(struct S)];  S \*s1 = new (&space) S;    // ...    delete s1;  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| This compliant solution removes the call to ::operator delete(), instead explicitly calling s1’s destructor. This is one of the few times when explicitly invoking a destructor is warranted. |
| #include <iostream>  struct S {  S() { std::cout << “S::S()” << std::endl; }  ~S() { std::cout << “S::~S()” << std::endl; }  };  void f() {  alignas(struct S) char space[sizeof(struct S)];  S \*s1 = new (&space) S;  // ...  S1->~S();  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

#### Coding Standard 6

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Assertions** | [STD-006-LLL] | Use a static assertion to test the value of a constant expression. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| This example uses the assert() macro to assert a property concerning a memory-mapped structure that is essential for the code to behave correctly. |
| #include <assert.h>  struct timer {  unsigned char MODE;  unsigned int DATA;  unsigned int COUNT;  };  int func(void) {  assert(sizeof(struct timer) == sizeof(unsigned char) +  sizeof(unsigned int) + sizeof(unsigned int));  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| For assertions involving only constant expressions, a preprocessor conditional statement may be used. |
| struct timer {  unsigned char MODE;  unsigned int DATA;  unsigned int COUNT;  };  #if (sizeof(struct timer) != (sizeof(unsigned char) + sizeof(unsigned  int)))  #error “Structure must not have any padding”  #endif |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

#### Coding Standard 7

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Exceptions** | [STD-007-LLL] | Handle all exceptions thrown before main() begins executing. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant example, the constructor for S may throw an exception that is not caught when globalS is constructed during program startup. |
| struct S {  S() noexcept(false);  };  static S globalS; |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| This compliant solution makes globalS into a local variable with static storage duration, allowing any exceptions thrown during object construction to be caught because the constructor for S will be executed the first time the function globalS() is called rather than at program startup. |
| struct S {  S() noexcept(false);  };  S &globalS() {  try {  static S s;  return s;  } catch (...) {  // Handle error, perhaps by logging it and gracefully  // Terminating the application.  }  // Unreachable.  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

#### Coding Standard 8

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Iterators | [STD-008-LLL] | Use valid iterator ranges. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this example, the two iterators that delimit the range point into the same container, but the first iterator does not precede the second. On each iteration of its internal loop, std::for\_each() compares the first iterator (after incrementing it) with the second for equality; as long as they are not equal, it will continue to increment the first iterator. |
| #include <algorithm>  #include <iostream>  #include <vector>  void f(const std::vector<int> &c) {  std::for\_each(c.end(), c.begin(), [](int i) {std::cout << I; });  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this compliant solution, the iterator values passed to std::for\_each() are passed in the proper order. |
| #include <algorithm>  #include <iostream>  #include <vector>  void f(const std::vector<int> &c) {  std::for\_each(c.begin(), c.end(), [](int i) { std::cout << i; });  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

#### Coding Standard 9

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Object Oriented Programming | [STD-009-LLL] | Copy operations must not mutate the source object. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this noncompliant code example, the copy operations for A mutate the source operant by resetting its member variable m to 0. When std::fill() is called, the first element copied will have the original value of obj.m 12, at which point obj.m is set to 0. The subsequent nine copies will all retain the value 0. |
| #include <algorithm>  #include <vector>  class A {  mutable int m;  public:  A() : m(0) {}  explicit A(int m) : m(m) {}  A(const A &other) : m(other.m) {  other.m = 0;  }  A& operator=(const A &other) {  if (&other != this) {  m = other.m,  other.m = 0;  }  return \*this;  }  int get\_m() const { return m; }  };  void f() {  std::vector<A> v{10};  A obj(12);  std::fill(v.begin(), v.end(), obj);  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| In this compliant solution, the copy operations for A no longer mutate the source operand, ensuring that the vector contains equivalent copies of obj. Instead, A has been given move operations that perform the mutation when it is safe to do so. |
| #include <algorithm>  #include <vector>  class A {  int m;  public:  A() : m(0) {}  explicit A(int m) : m(m) {}  A(const A &other) : m(other.m) {}  A(A &&other) : m(other.m) { other.m = 0; }  A& operator=(const A &other) {  if (&other != this) {  m = other.m;  }  return \*this;  }    A& operator=(A &&other) {  m = other.m;  other.m = 0;  return \*this;  }  int get\_m() const { return m; }  };  void f() {  std::vector<A> v{10};  A obj(12);  std::fill(v.begin(), v.end(), obj);  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

#### Coding Standard 10

| **Coding Standard** | **Label** | **Name of Standard** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Concurrency | [STD-010-LLL] | Wrap functions that can spuriously wake up in a loop. |

| **Noncompliant Code** |
| --- |
| This example monitors a linked list and assigns one thread to consume list elements when the list is nonempty. |
| #include <condition\_variable>  #include <mutex>  struct Node {  void \*node;  struct Node \*next;  };  static Node list;  static std::mutex m;  static std::condition\_variable condition;    void consume\_list\_element(std::condition\_variable &condition) {  std::unique\_lock<std::mutex> lk(m);  if (list.next == nullptr) {  condition.wait(lk);  }  // Proceed when condition holds.  } |

| **Compliant Code** |
| --- |
| This compliant solution calls the wait() member function from within a while loop to check the condition both before and after the call to wait(). |
| #include <condition\_variable>  #include <mutex>  struct Node {  void \*node;  struct Note \*next;  };  static Node list;  static std::mutex m;  static std::condition\_variable condition;  void consume\_list\_element() {  std::unique\_lock<std::mutex> lk(m);  while (list.next == nullptr) {  condition.wait(lk);  }  // Proceed when condition holds.  } |

**Note: Stop here for the milestone. Complete this section for Project One in Module Six.**

| **Principles(s):** [Name the principle and explain how it maps to this standard.] |
| --- |

**Threat Level**

| **Severity** | **Likelihood** | **Remediation Cost** | **Priority** | **Level** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

**Automation**

| **Tool** | **Version** | **Checker** | **Description Tool** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

### Defense-in-Depth Illustration

This illustration provides a visual representation of the defense-in-depth best practice of layered security.



## Project One

There are seven steps outlined below that align with the elements you will be graded on in the accompanying rubric. When you complete these steps, you will have finished the security policy.

### Revise the C/C++ Standards

You completed one of these tables for each of your standards in the Module Three milestone. In Project One, add revisions to improve the explanation and examples as needed. Add rows to accommodate additional examples of compliant and noncompliant code. Coding standards begin on the security policy.

### Risk Assessment

Complete this section on the coding standards tables. Enter high, medium, or low for each of the headers, then rate it overall using a scale from 1 to 5, 5 being the greatest threat. You will address each of the seven policy standards. Fill in the columns of severity, likelihood, remediation cost, priority, and level using the values provided in the appendix.

### Automated Detection

Complete this section of each table on the coding standards to show the tools that may be used to detect issues. Provide the tool name, version, checker, and description. List one or more tools that can automatically detect this issue and its version number, name of the rule or check (preferably with link), and any relevant comments or description—if any. This table ties to a specific C++ coding standard.

### Automation

Provide a written explanation using the image provided.



Automation will be used for the enforcement of and compliance to the standards defined in this policy. Green Pace already has a well-established DevOps process and infrastructure. Define guidance on where and how to modify the existing DevOps process to automate enforcement of the standards in this policy. Use the DevSecOps diagram and provide an explanation using that diagram as context.

[Insert your written explanations here.]

### Summary of Risk Assessments

Consolidate all risk assessments into one table including both coding and systems standards, ordered by standard number.

| Rule | Severity | Likelihood | Remediation Cost | Priority | Level |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| STD-001-CPP | High | Unlikely | Medium | High | 2 |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

### Create Policies for Encryption and Triple A

Include all three types of encryption (in flight, at rest, and in use) and each of the three elements of the Triple-A framework using the tables provided***.***

* 1. Explain each type of encryption, how it is used, and why and when the policy applies.
  2. Explain each type of Triple-A framework strategy, how it is used, and why and when the policy applies.

Write policies for each and explain what it is, how it should be applied in practice, and why it should be used.

| 1. **Encryption** | **Explain what it is and how and why the policy applies.** |
| --- | --- |
| Encryption in rest | [Insert text.] |
| Encryption at flight | [Insert text.] |
| Encryption in use | [Insert text.] |

| 1. **Triple-A Framework\*** | **Explain what it is and how and why the policy applies.** |
| --- | --- |
| Authentication | [Insert text.] |
| Authorization | [Insert text.] |
| Accounting | [Insert text.] |

**\***Use this checklist for the Triple A to be sure you include these elements in your policy:

* User logins
* Changes to the database
* Addition of new users
* User level of access
* Files accessed by users

### Map the Principles

Map the principles to each of the standards, and provide a justification for the connection between the two. In the Module Three milestone, you added definitions for each of the 10 principles provided. Now it’s time to connect the standards to principles to show how they are supported by principles. You may have more than one principle for each standard, and the principles may be used more than once. Principles are numbered 1 through 10. You will list the number or numbers that apply to each standard, then explain how each of these principles supports the standard. This exercise demonstrates that you have based your security policy on widely accepted principles. Linking principles to standards is a best practice.

**NOTE:** Green Pace has already successfully implemented the following:

* Operating system logs
* Firewall logs
* Anti-malware logs

The only item you must complete beyond this point is the Policy Version History table.

## Audit Controls and Management

Every software development effort must be able to provide evidence of compliance for each software deployed into any Green Pace managed environment.

Evidence will include the following:

* Code compliance to standards
* Well-documented access-control strategies, with sampled evidence of compliance
* Well-documented data-control standards defining the expected security posture of data at rest, in flight, and in use
* Historical evidence of sustained practice (emails, logs, audits, meeting notes)

## Enforcement

The office of the chief information security officer (OCISO) will enforce awareness and compliance of this policy, producing reports for the risk management committee (RMC) to review monthly. Every system deployed in any environment operated by Green Pace is expected to be in compliance with this policy at all times.

Staff members, consultants, or employees found in violation of this policy will be subject to disciplinary action, up to and including termination.

## Exceptions Process

Any exception to the standards in this policy must be requested in writing with the following information:

* Business or technical rationale
* Risk impact analysis
* Risk mitigation analysis
* Plan to come into compliance
* Date for when the plan to come into compliance will be completed

Approval for any exception must be granted by chief information officer (CIO) and the chief information security officer (CISO) or their appointed delegates of officer level.

Exceptions will remain on file with the office of the CISO, which will administer and govern compliance.

## Distribution

This policy is to be distributed to all Green Pace IT staff annually. All IT staff will need to certify acceptance and awareness of this policy annually.

## Policy Change Control

This policy will be automatically reviewed annually, no later than 365 days from the last revision date. Further, it will be reviewed in response to regulatory or compliance changes, and on demand as determined by the OCISO.

## Policy Version History

| Version | Date | Description | Edited By | Approved By |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1.0 | 08/05/2020 | Initial Template | David Buksbaum |  |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |
| [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] | [Insert text.] |

## Appendix A Lookups

### Approved C/C++ Language Acronyms

| Language | Acronym |
| --- | --- |
| C++ | CPP |
| C | CLG |
| Java | JAV |